

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/033,585 03/03/98 NAGASHIMA Т 862.2213 **EXAMINER** 005514 WM31/1222 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO POON, K 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT NEW YORK NY 10112 2624 **DATE MAILED:** 12/22/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/033,585

Applicant(s)

Takeyuki Nagashima

Examiner

King Y. Poon

Group Art Unit 2624



TH		OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
		expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	determin	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of sing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be sed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	perioa i	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Oct 17, 2000</u> (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap bu	oplicant'	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 30, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, I deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	•	pposed amendment(s):
		be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	_	not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	ı	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOT	E: newly amended claims raises new issues, that changes the scope of the invention, and would require further
		search and considerations. policant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	☐ App	search and considerations.
	App Newly separat	search and considerations. Discant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
□ ×	Newly separat	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because:
	Newly personal The aff for allow see aft. The aff Examin	search and considerations. plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because: tachment fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the
	Newly properties of the aff for allow see att. The aff Examin	search and considerations. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because: **achment** fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the ner in the final rejection. **proses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Newly I separated The aff for allowage att. The aff Examin For pur Claims Claims	search and considerations. Dilicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because: Inachment
	Newly I separated The aff for allowage att. The aff Examin For pur Claims Claims	search and considerations. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because: **achment** fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the ner in the final rejection. **proses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
□ X	Newly I separated The aff for allowage att. The aff Examin For pur Claims Claims Claims	search and considerations. Dilicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Ifidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because: Inachment
□ X	Newly properties and the aff for allow see aff. The aff Examination Claims Claims Claims The properties and the properties are also as a second control of the propert	search and considerations. proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition wance because: tachment fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the ner in the final rejection. proses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): allowed: objected to: rejected: _1-15
	Newly properties and the aff for allow see aff. The aff Examination Claims Claims Claims The properties and the properties are also as a second control of the propert	search and considerations. proposed or amended claims
	Newly processes attempted to the aff for allow see attempted to the aff Examin For pur Claims Claims Claims The processes attempted to the aff Examin For pur Claims Claims Claims Claims The processes at the aff Examin For pur Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims The processes at the aff Examin For pur Claims Cl	search and considerations. proposed or amended claims
	Newly processes attempted to the aff for allow see attempted to the aff Examin For pur Claims Claims Claims The processes attempted to the aff Examin For pur Claims Claims Claims Claims The processes at the aff Examin For pur Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims The processes at the aff Examin For pur Claims Cl	search and considerations. proposed or amended claims
	Newly processes attempted to the aff for allow see attempted to the aff Examin For pur Claims Claims Claims The processes attempted to the aff Examin For pur Claims Claims Claims Claims The processes at the aff Examin For pur Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims The processes at the aff Examin For pur Claims Cl	search and considerations. proposed or amended claims

Application/Control Number: 09033585

Art Unit: 2624

Attachment

With respect to applicant's argument on page 13 that Maniwa, Sasanuma and Ferguson do not teach an acquisition unit for acquiring the condition information stored in the image output unit by using two-way communications, in response to the image output instruction, and an image processor for performing image processing of image data in accordance with the condition information acquired by the acquisition unit., has been considered.

In reply, Maniwa teaches an image processing apparatus (see # 107, 109, 104 of fig. 1) comprising: a communicator for performing two-way communications (see interface A and B of fig. 1) with an image output unit (#105 of fig. 1) that includes an update unit (see # 112 of fig. 1) for updating condition information indicating a condition of the image output unit (see column 6 line 30-40) and a memory for storing the condition information (see MIB of column 23 line 40-45); an input unit (see interface A of fig. 1 and column 7 line 20-38) for inputting an image output instruction; an acquisition unit (see interface B of fig. 1 and fig.) for acquiring the condition information stored in the image output unit by utilizing the two-way communications, and an image processor (see 107 of fig. 1) for performing image processing of image data. (See column 7 line 34-38)

Maniwa does not teach that the acquisition unit acquires the condition information in response to the image output instruction and that the image processing is performed according to the condition information.

Application/Control Number: 09033585 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

Ferguson teaches to acquire condition information in response to image output instruction. (See status request on column 1 line 35-43. The status request is an image output instruction because the status request in Ferguson's printer is used to indicate to a printer that an image is to be outputted and have the printer controller to check status before image data is being transferred)

Sasanuma teaches to perform image processing in an image processor (see 1200 of fig 1) according to the condition of an image processing apparatus. (See 1100 of fig. 1, column 16, line 20-24, column 5 line 15-35) Maniwa, Ferguson and Sasanuma are combinable because they are from the same area of image processing.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Maniwa's image processing apparatus by having the acquisition unit to acquire condition information in response to the image output instruction as taught by Ferguson for the purpose of checking the status of the image output unit.

Moreover, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the image processor of Maniwa to perform image processing according to the condition of the image processing apparatus as taught by Sasanuma for the purpose of ensuring a good image can be form by the image output unit.

With respect to applicant's argument on page 14 that the cited references fail to suggest a way to correct for changes in conditions of an image output unit when processing of data for which an image is to be output occurs in a host computer.

Application/Control Number: 09033585

Art Unit: 2624

Page 4

In reply, Sasanuma teaches to perform image processing in an image processor (see 1200 of fig 1) according to the condition of an image processing apparatus. (See 1100 of fig. 1, column 16, line 20-24, column 5 line 15-35) Fig. 1 of Sasanuma shows a computer unit (1200, processor) that is in communication with a printer unit (1100) and the computer unit is to perform image processing of an image that is to be output to the printer unit based on the condition of the printer unit. (See column 5 line 15-35, and column 16 of Sasanuma)

With respect to applicant's argument on page 15 that Morgan does not teach a transmitter for transmitting the stored condition information to the host computer in accordance with a request for acquiring the condition information issued by the computer, has been considered.

In reply, Morgan teaches a transmitter (see 38 of fig. 1) for transmitting the stored condition information to the host computer in accordance with a request for acquiring the condition information issued by the host computer (see column 9 line 65-68, column 10 line 1-5)

Ind More

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 260°